

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MERCK & CIE, BAYER PHARMA AG and )  
BAYER HEALTHCARE )  
PHARMACEUTICALS INC., )  
 )  
Plaintiffs, ) C.A. No. 13-1272 (RGA)  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
WATSON LABORATORIES, INC., )  
 )  
Defendant. )

MERCK & CIE, BAYER PHARMA AG and )  
BAYER HEALTHCARE )  
PHARMACEUTICALS INC., )  
 )  
Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
v. ) C.A. No. 13-978 (RGA)  
 )  
WATSON LABORATORIES, INC., )  
 )  
Defendant. )

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

This 22nd day of October, 2013, the Court having conducted an initial Rule 16(b) scheduling conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.1(b), and the parties having determined after discussion that the matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement, voluntary mediation, or binding arbitration;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, the parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) within five days of the date of this Order.

2. Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings. All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings, shall be filed on or before **April 23, 2014**.

3. Discovery.

a. Initial disclosures of custodians, data sources, and notice of issues.

The parties' initial disclosures of custodians, noncustodial data sources, and notice of issues pursuant to paragraph 3 of this Court's Default Standard shall occur no later than November 11, 2013.

b. Initial disclosure of asserted patents and accused products.

Paragraph 4(a) of this Court's Default Standard does not apply in this case. See also section 16.c below.

c. Production of ANDAs. Defendant shall produce a copy of their

Abbreviated New Drug Applications and any other core technical documents, if any, pursuant to paragraph 4(b) of this Court's Default Standard no later than **October 18, 2013**.

d. Initial claim chart. Plaintiffs shall produce an initial claim chart to

Defendant pursuant to paragraph 4(c) of this Court's Default Standard no later than **November 18, 2013**.

e. Invalidity contentions. Defendant shall produce its initial invalidity

contentions and invalidating prior art references pursuant to paragraph 4(d) of this Court's Default Standard no later than **December 18, 2013**.

f. Discovery Cut Off. All (non-expert) discovery in this case shall be

initiated so that it will be completed on or before **June 13, 2014**.

g. Document Production. Document production shall be substantially complete by **March 21, 2014**.

h. Requests for Admission. A maximum of 25 requests for admission (RFAs) are permitted for each side. RFAs directed to authenticity, admissibility, or status of document as prior art do not count against the RFA limit.

i. Interrogatories. A maximum of 25 interrogatories, including contention interrogatories, are permitted for each side.

j. Depositions.

i. Limitation on Hours for Deposition Discovery. Each side is limited to a total of 70 hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination. Depositions are limited to 7 hours on the record. When a translator is used, each hour of tape time counts only a half hour against the overall limit. Depositions of expert witnesses do not count against the overall limit.

ii. Location of Depositions. The parties agree that depositions will take place at a location convenient to the deponent.

k. Discovery Matters and Disputes Relating to Protective Orders. Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery matter or a dispute relating to a protective order, the parties involved in the discovery matter or protective order dispute shall contact the Court's Case Manager to schedule an in-person conference/argument. Unless otherwise ordered, by no later than forty-eight hours prior to the conference/argument, the party seeking relief shall file with Court a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. By no later than twenty-four hours prior to the conference/argument, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed

three pages, outlining the party's reasons for its opposition. Should any document(s) be filed under seal, a courtesy copy of the sealed document(s) must be provided to the Court within one hour of e-filing the document(s).

If a discovery-related motion is filed without leave of the Court, it will be denied without prejudice to the moving party's right to bring the dispute to the Court through the discovery matters procedures set forth in this Order.

4. Application to Court for Protective Order. Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court within ten days from the date of this Order. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, counsel must follow the provisions of Paragraph 3(k) above.

Any proposed protective order must include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated as confidential pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

5. Papers Filed Under Seal. When filing papers under seal, counsel shall deliver to the Clerk an original and one copy of the papers. A redacted version of any sealed document shall be filed electronically within seven days of the filing of the sealed document.

6. Courtesy Copies. The parties shall provide to the Court two courtesy copies of all briefs and one courtesy copy of any other document filed in support of any briefs

(i.e., appendices, exhibits, declarations, affidavits etc.). This provision also applies to papers filed under seal.

7. Claim Construction Issue Identification. On or before **January 22, 2014**, the parties shall exchange a list of those claim term(s)/phrase(s) that they believe need construction and their proposed claim construction of those term(s)/phrase(s). This document will not be filed with the Court. Subsequent to exchanging that list, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Chart to be filed no later than **February 14, 2014**. The parties' Joint Claim Construction Chart, in Word or WordPerfect format, shall be e-mailed simultaneously with filing to rga\_civil@ded.uscourts.gov. The parties' Joint Claim Construction Chart should identify for the Court the term(s)/phrase(s) of the claim(s) in issue, and should include each party's proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citation(s) only to the intrinsic evidence in support of their respective proposed constructions. A copy of the patent(s) in issue as well as those portions of the intrinsic record relied upon shall be submitted with this Joint Claim Construction Chart. In this joint submission, the parties shall not provide argument.

8. Claim Construction Briefing. The Plaintiffs shall serve, but not file, their opening brief, not to exceed 20 pages, on **March 14, 2014**. The Defendant shall serve, but not file, its answering brief, not to exceed 30 pages, on **April 11, 2014**. The Plaintiffs shall serve, but not file, their reply brief, not to exceed 20 pages, on **April 25, 2014**. The Defendant shall serve, but not file, its sur-reply brief, not to exceed 10 pages, on **May 9, 2014**. No later than **May 14, 2014**, the parties shall file a Joint Claim Construction Brief. The parties shall copy and paste their unfiled briefs into one brief, with their positions on each claim term in sequential order, in substantially the form below.

## JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

- I. Agreed-Upon Constructions
- II. Disputed Constructions
  - A. [TERM 1]
    - 1. Plaintiffs' Opening Position
    - 2. Defendant's Answering Position
    - 3. Plaintiffs' Reply Position
    - 4. Defendant's Sur-Reply Position
  - B. [TERM 2]
    - 1. Plaintiffs' Opening Position
    - 2. Defendant's Answering Position
    - 3. Plaintiffs' Reply Position
    - 4. Defendant's Sur-Reply Position

Etc. The parties need not include any general summaries of the law relating to claim construction. If there are any materials that would be submitted in an index, the parties shall submit them in a Joint Appendix.

9. Hearing on Claim Construction. Beginning at 8:30 a.m. on **May 29, 2014**, the Court will hear argument on claim construction. Absent prior approval of the Court (which, if it is sought, must be done so by joint letter submission no later than the date on which answering claim construction briefs are due), the parties shall not present testimony at the argument, and the argument shall not exceed a total of three hours.

10. Disclosure of Expert Testimony.

a. Expert Reports. For the party who has the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or

before **August 1, 2014**. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another party is due on or before **August 29, 2014**. Reply expert reports from the party with the initial burden of proof are due on or before **September 19, 2014**. No other expert reports will be permitted without either the consent of all parties or leave of the Court. Along with the submissions of the expert reports, the parties shall advise of the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition. Depositions of experts shall be completed on or before **October 17, 2014**.

b. Objections to Expert Testimony. To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), as incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than **October 31, 2014**, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

11. Applications by Motion. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.

12. Pretrial Conference. On **December 12, 2014**, the Court will hold a Rule 16(e) final pretrial conference in Court with counsel beginning at 8:30 a.m. The parties shall file a joint proposed final pretrial order in compliance with Local Rule 16.3(c) no later than 5:00 p.m. on the third business day before the date of the final pretrial conference. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties shall comply with the timeframes set forth in Local Rule 16.3(d) for the preparation of the proposed joint final pretrial order.

13. Motions in Limine. Motions *in limine* shall not be separately filed. All *in limine* requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each side shall be limited to three *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The *in*

*limine* request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each *in limine* request may be supported by a maximum of three pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of three pages of argument, and the party making the *in limine* request may add a maximum of one additional page in reply in support of its request. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an *in limine* request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single three page submission (and, if the moving party, a single one page reply). No separate briefing shall be submitted on *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court.

14. Trial. This matter is scheduled for a 21-hour bench trial beginning at 9:30 a.m. on January 5, 2015, with the subsequent trial days beginning at 9:30 a.m. The trial will be timed, as counsel will be allocated a total number of hours in which to present their respective cases.

15. ADR Process. This matter is referred to a magistrate judge to explore the possibility of alternative dispute resolution.


16. Statement Regarding applicability of the Court's "Default Standard for Discovery, Including Discovery of Electronically Stored Information ('ESI')" ("the Default Standard"). The Default Standard shall apply in this case, with the following exceptions:

a. Section (1)(d)(ii) is modified to provide that with respect to information generated after February 10, 2012, the parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.

b. Section 5(b)(i) is modified to provide that the producing party shall search (i) the non-custodial data sources identified in accordance with paragraph 3(b) using the identified search terms if possible, or using other reasonable search methodologies if such non-custodial sources are not amenable to search term queries.



- c. Section 4(a) of the Default Standard does not apply.
- d. Section 4(e) of the Default Standard applies.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Richard G. Andrews", is written over a horizontal line.

The Honorable Richard G. Andrews